

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S:Zahid Khan & Co. FSD	M/S:Mian Tayyab Ishaq FSD	M/S:MedSource FSD	M/S:M.S Enterprises & Co. FSD	REMARKS
1.	<b>Financial Position / Status</b> i. Income Tax registration certificate ii. Sales tax registration certificate iii. Professional Tax Certificate iv. Bank Statement worth (min 03 million) v. Tax Return for last Year	<b>15</b> (03) (03) (03) (03) (03)	03 03 03 00 00	03 03 00 00 03	03 03 03 00 00	03 03 00 00 03	
			<b>09</b>	<b>09</b>	<b>09</b>	<b>09</b>	
2.	<b>Firm's Experience In The Relevant Field</b> i. 1-3 years experience ii. 4-8 years experience iii. 9-15 years & above experience	<b>15</b> (09) (12) (15)	09	09	09	09	
3.	<b>Overall past performance of the firm/company</b> a) very good b) good c) satisfactory d) No past experience with this institution but firm has experience with other Govt. Institutions e) Unsatisfactory/ Poor	<b>15</b> 15 12 10 09 (00)	12	12	15	10	
4.	<b>Technical Staff</b> i. 01 or more employees/owners holding bachelor degree or above.	<b>05</b> (05)	05	05	00	05	
	<b>Qualifying points 60% of total</b>	<b>Total Points = 50</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabbir**  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

1.	Ball Point Red & Blue Clipper	0.5mm Needle Nib Ball Dia Size 136x10 mm, Red & Blue Piano liquid ink or Equivelant Jelflo Type
----	-------------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. (Piano FSD)	M/S: Med Source (dollar clipper)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Dollar)	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

2.	Ball Point uniball Red & Blue	Eye Micro Liquid Ink Roler ball pen Direct Liquid water base pen Blue /Red Colour 0.5mm Needle Nib Ball Dia Size 136x10 mm,Piano or Equivelant
----	-------------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Piano)	M/S: Med Source (Uniball Made in Japan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Dollar)	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	   18	   25	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u>  (14) (16) (20)	   16	   16	   14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**


3.	Board Marker Eraseable	B/Q,ketone base ink,Eraseable, Quick dry line width 2 mm, Refill ink style,Black ,Blue,green ,red colour
----	------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Dollar)	M/S: Med Source (Piano)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

4.	Computer Paper Legal Size 80 G(500 per sheets per packet) for photostat	Imported B/Q,
----	---	---------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Copymate)	M/S: Med Source	M.S:Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Link paper Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Imported AA)	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected</b>	25	<b>No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u>  (14) (16) (20)			16		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			41		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

5.	Computer Paper size A4 (500 per sheets per packet)	Imported B/Q
----	--	--------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (A-4)	M/S: Med Source	M.S:Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Link paper Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Imported)	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected</b>	25	<b>No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)			16		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			41		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**


6.	Envelop White	80 g,9x4" local
----	---------------	-----------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Local)	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected</b>	25	<b>No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14)  (16)  (20)		16		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		41		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

7.	Envelop White	80 g,A4/legal size” local
----	---------------	---------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Local)	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18	   25	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u>  (14) (16) (20)	   16	   16	   14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

8.	Hard Board With Flapper Patti Dori	Hard Board, legal size, B/Q, 3mm thickness
----	------------------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Local)	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20  (14)  (16)  (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

9.	Lakh	Good Quality, 136x15mm/stick, pack of 10 stick
----	------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Dollar)	M/S: Med Source (Dollar)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

10.	Marker Permanent	Good Quality, 136x15mm/stick, pack of 10 stick
-----	------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Copymate)	M/S: Med Source (Dollar)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

11.	White Paper Legal Size 80 g	80 g, legal flying paper, 500 Sheet /Rim
-----	-----------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M.S:Mian Tayyab Ishaq (flying Pakistan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected	No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected	Low Quality Hence Rejected.	No Sample for Evaluation Provided Hence Rejected	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20  (14) (16) (20)					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30					

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

12.	Thumb Pin Colour	Best Quality, pack of 50 Pin coloured head  plastic stainless steel Pin
-----	------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	   18   	   25   	   16   	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	   16   	   16   	   14   	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

13.	Stapler Machine Standard	Best Quality, Dolly or Equivalent, Plier Type Heavy Duty 7 "x2/3" stainless steel
-----	--------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source (Dell)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18	   25	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	   16	   16	   14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

14.	Sharpener Metallic	Best Quality
-----	--------------------	--------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18	   25	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	   16	   16	   14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

15.	Tag Coloured Paper	Best Quality, pack of sticks(1/2"x3")leaves x600 leaves /pack
-----	--------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

16.	Tap For Binding	Best Quality, 2"x20m
-----	-----------------	----------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Osaka)	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

17.	X-Ray Sheet for Binding	Legal Best Quality
-----	-------------------------	--------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

18.	Dori patti for Files	Best Quality
-----	----------------------	--------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

19.	Stapler Pin	1000x20 staples complete box stainless steel 24/6, pack of 20
-----	-------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Dollar)	M/S: Med Source (Bahadur)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	<b>No Sample Provided For Evaluation Hence Rejected.</b>	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>		


**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

20.	Whitener/Fluid Marker	Best Quality as per sample
-----	-----------------------	----------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Fluider)	M/S: Med Source (Rnext)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	


**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

21.	Steel Scale	Flexible stainless steel 12"
-----	-------------	---------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

22.	Stamp Pad	Best Quality, 3x4" blue and black ink refill
-----	-----------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Dollar)	M/S: Med Source (Lancer)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**


23.	StampPad Ink	30 ml Ink bottle refill blue and black
-----	--------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source (Crystal)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

24.	Plastic Tray Basket	18"x24"x6", Best Quality, Fresh Plastic
-----	---------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Ahy Plastic)	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

25.	Punch Machine 3 way	B/Q,Stainless steel, making 03 hole
-----	---------------------	-------------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Dollar)	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

26.	Coloured Paper All Colour	Legal size,80 g,imported pink,green,red,yellow,blue 500 sheet/Rim
-----	---------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises (Nafeer Rim)	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18	   25	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	   16	   16	   14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

27.	Plain Register	120 Leaves, legal page size, flying paper
-----	----------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20  (14)  (16)  (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

28.	Plain Register	300 Leaves,80g, legal page size,flying paper
-----	----------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18	   25	   16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14) (16) (20)	   16	   16	   14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Afi Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

29.	02 Ring Binder Black File	14x17" 02 leave hard fiber With 02 hole grip made with stainless steel
-----	---------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS		
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample Provided For Evaluation Hence Rejected.</b>	<b>Not Hard Fiber Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample Provided For Evaluation Hence Rejected.</b>			
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14)  (16)  (20)						
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>						

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

30.	Common Pin	Satinless steel 500x0.5mmx10mm/pack
-----	------------	-------------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	16	16	14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Member)**



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
**(Chairman)**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-2023**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**STATIONARY ITEMS**

**STAGE: 02**

31.	Laces Thread for File	4"-6" thread,dual sided,metal press 20 thread /pack
-----	-----------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Med Source	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	    18	    25	    16	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14)  (16)  (20)	    16	    16	    14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



**Mrs. Shama Nadeem**  
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Mr. Abid Ali Shabir**  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)



**Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk**  
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)